

Problem-Reaction-Solution (The Hegelian Dialectic)

 thelastbastille.wordpress.com/2011/12/31/problem-reaction-solution-hegelian-dialectic/

By Kyle Rearden

December 31, 2011

Problem-solving is an essential human activity, for without it, there can be no innovation, development, or even enrichment in the quality of this experience known as life. There are whole hosts of troubles that exist, ranging from the mundane to the grave; some will be with us always, others simply change names and morph into something somewhat similar. With all of the genuine challenges we face, conjuring artificial difficulties out of whole cloth is clearly not only a great crime, but also dishonestly attempts to reprioritize what truly matters. This propaganda trick is known as the Hegelian Dialectic, which is known vernacularly as Problem-Reaction-Solution.

Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel was a 19th century German philosopher who used a 3-valued logical model in order to explain history as well as the nature of reality. Developing upon the original version of Immanuel Kant's Thesis-Antithesis-Synthesis, Hegel used Abstract-Negative-Concrete. The difference between the two is that the former does not explain why the Antithesis even needs the Thesis in the first place, whereas the latter postulates that any Thesis is, in fact, too Abstract and lacks the experience of trial and error. Regardless of the semantics and minutiae, the core idea here is that the first 2 components are blended to produce a third entity.

David Icke, a notable British counter-culture figure, took the core essence of these philosophical concepts, simplified the elements by renaming them, and applied it to contemporary history. As this prolific author has said, "What happens in terms of creating wars is a mind manipulation technique that I call in my books, Problem-Reaction-Solution; and it works like this..."

Step 1: The duplicitous bastards in question either take advantage of a pre-existing problem by making it more atrocious (or even worse, they create an artificial problem out of whole cloth).

Step 2: They then present to the public (through their corporate media) the version of the problem they want so as to elicit a particular response from the population ("What are *they* going to do about it?!)

Step 3: The Establishment offers the pre-packaged "solution," which was something they wanted to do anyway, even before the "problem" had become manifested!

The whole point of Problem-Reaction-Solution (or, PRS) is to fundamentally alter society with a minimum of popular opposition, weakening any form of resistance automatically from the beginning, in very much the same way the Left-Right Paradigm does. Is this the "change" that

liberals wanted when they “elected” Bill Clinton and Barack Obama (or for that matter, when conservatives similarly “elected” Ronald Reagan, Bush I, and Bush II)?

So, what kinds of problems can be either be worsened or wholly created in order to enact PRS? These can range from so-called “terrorist” attacks (usually ascribed to amorphous non-state actors), to imperialistic wars of aggression, to a government collapse, to a run on a currency, to a credit crunch, to hyperinflation, and frankly, anything else at all that can be used to rile up the population’s support for the Establishment’s supposed “fixing” of the chaos. Speaking of which, I’ll add the tidbit that in the 33rd degree of Freemasonry’s Scottish Rite, their motto is *Ordo ab chao* (“order out of chaos”). Ever notice that PRS never works when things are going pretty well?

Former Commander-in-Chief of the National Socialist *Luftwaffe* Herman Göring said that, “Why, of course, the people don’t want war. Why should some poor slob on a farm want to risk his life in a war when the best he can get out of it is to come back to his farm in one piece? Naturally, the common people don’t want war; neither in Russia, nor in England; nor, for that matter, in Germany. That is understood. But after all, it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is a democracy, or a fascist dictatorship. Voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked, and denounce the peacemakers for their lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger. It works the same in any country.” Obviously, substitute “war” for any sort of artificial problem, and the same principles still apply.

Let us not forget the complicity of the corporate mainstream media (or, MSM). Their chief role in PRS is to elicit a response from the populace, which simulates popular support for the Establishment’s later actions in Step 3 (the “Solution”). This is possible when the MSM only functions as “repeaters;” that is, office drones who simply regurgitate whatever the government’s official version of reality happens to be this week. If the MSM had any sort of journalistic integrity (especially by performing actual investigative journalism), then the State’s flavor of propaganda collapses as the ridiculous farce that it is.

Let us now examine two spheres of the human experience to see how PRS is applied to push forward the agenda of those minuscule few who seek to control the many:

Politically, this can be seen when the government (aka, the State) finds a scapegoat for something bad that, in fact, they themselves did. Due to a combination of corporatism and repeaters, the MSM simply presents the government flavor of an event (never the actual truth) so as to elicit a worrisome response from citizens who now fret about their security. Never fear! For the State has a “remedy” for what “ails” the body politic; typically, it’s always a further encroachment into the lives of innocent people (what minarchists call a “police state”). Anyone with 2 brain cells to rub together may wonder why it’s impossible to do something as simple as going to the airport and actually getting on a fucking plane without getting mauled by agents of the State.

Economically, this is observable with the so-called “global financial crisis.” Basically, high finance interests gamble with other people’s money, and when they lose it, the State bails them out with even more confiscated wealth. The roller-coaster ride of the so-called “boom and bust cycle” is only possible with the cyclical expansion and contraction of the money supply, which itself only exists due to the mechanism that brings the currency into existence in the first place. So, what is the Establishment’s proposed solution to prevent such financial shenanigans (such as with sub-prime mortgages, credit derivatives, and central banking)? Aiding and abetting the entities that caused the domino effect in the first place, while blaming consumers (as if they were the masterminds) and forcibly transferring their wealth to those very same high finance special interests!

PRS is a devastatingly effective method for tricking a population into becoming emotionally invested in something that would otherwise be against their own self-interest. Only those with an intuitive understanding of cognitive processes can begin to appreciate the magnitude of such social control. Once you analyze the “news” as indicating such fundamental trend shifts, you can apply the formula of PRS to it and decipher where all this activity is really going. How you act upon your realizations of this is up to you.

Advertisements